UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/542,400   | 07/15/2005  | Yasuji Yasumitsu     | Q88748              | 3038             |  |
| 23373 7590 10/30/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | SALVATORE, LYNDA    |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 1794                |                  |  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             |                      | 10/30/2008          | PAPER            |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/542,400         | YASUMITSU ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit         |  |  |
| LYNDA M. SALVATORE | 1794             |  |  |

|   | LTNDA W. SALVATORE   | 1794  |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance         | t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or              | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | date of the final rejection.   |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>).    | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FII      | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                    |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl   | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two months                                   | s of the date of                         |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br>AMENDMENTS  |  |   | e appeal. Since a                        |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b   | out prior to the date of filing a brief,   | will not be entered be                                    | cause                                    |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  |  | ΓE below);  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | **   |   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett  | er form for appeal by materially red   | ducing or simplifying ti                                  | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or<br>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | orresponding number of finally reig  | acted claims  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11  |  | ottod olaimio.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  | ,  | mnliant Amendment (                                       | PTOL-324)                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  | mphane / internament (i                                   | 1 102 024).                              |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  |  | timely filed amendmer                                     | nt canceling the                         |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | swapie ii sapinitisa iii a separate,   | amery med ameriamer                                       | it our looking the                       |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | l be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .  |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>7.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | sufficient reasons why the affidav   | it or other evidence is                                   | necessary and                            |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fail:                                 | s to provide a                           |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach                                   | ed.                                      |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  | 197   |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but it relies upon the unentered amendment.   | ,  | i condition for allowan                                   | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | r i 0/56/06) Paper No(\$)  |   |  |
|   | /Lynda Salvatore/  |   |  |
|   | Primary Examiner   |   |  |
|   | Art Unit 1794  |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's newly added claim limitations would require further search and/or consideration.